

SHARED RESPONSIBILITY IN SPEED MANAGEMENT – INSIGHTS FROM EXPERTS



Study Overview

- 33 semi-structured interviews
- Participants: Road safety experts & practitioners
- Locations: Mainly Australia & Sweden
- Approach: Systems thinking + reflexive thematic analysis

Study themes



What responsibility means

- Anchored in legal frameworks
- Guided by moral imperatives
- Linked to crash causation factors



Competing mindsets

- Differing beliefs about how road safety results are achieved
- Tensions impacting shared responsibility



Goal alignment

- Shared goals strengthen cooperation in speed management



Reviewing governance arrangements

- Reassess roles & accountability
- Increase transparency in policy-making

Challenges Identified



- Lack of conceptual clarity regarding 'responsibility'
- Differing stakeholder perspectives
- Policy process opacity
- Misaligned incentives
- Lack of metrics linked to roles/responsibilities



Practical Recommendations

- Develop practitioner guidelines for clarity
- Create tools to support governance & role clarity
- Link performance metrics to individual & collective responsibilities
- Improve transparency in policy processes