Team Name/Institution: **Judge's Name:**

Category	Excellent	Very Good	Good	Fair
Originality	The proposal was extremely relevant and innovative for the topic	The proposal was original and relevant for the topic	The proposal had some originality and relevance to the topic	The proposal needed greater relevance and originality
Theory	An excellent link between the proposal and the relevant Behavioural theory	A clear and concise link between the proposal and relevant Behavioural theory	There was some link between the proposal and relevant Behavioural theory	The proposal needed a deeper understanding of the relevant Behavioural theory
Consideration of constraints and practicality of implementation	Consideration of all constraints and practical implementation was excellent	There was a clear and concise consideration of constraints and implementation	There was some consideration of constraints and implementation	The proposal needed greater consideration of the relevant constraints and implementation
Meeting the brief	The proposal met all facets of the problem brief at a very high level	The proposal met most facets of the problem brief at a very high level	The proposal met some of the facets of the problem brief required	The proposal needed greater work in meeting the problem brief
Presentation	Overall an excellent standard of presentation	A high quality standard of presentation was provided	Overall a clear and concise presentation was provided	The standard of the presentation could have been improved
General Comments:				